✓ Proceed

App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 119-26

Previous References

LB)

Serial Nos. 7, 8
217

Later References

LB 119-26 (31, 65)
ml 9-29 — 4 & 21

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos.

Part B for Jan 27

SUBJECT

Discharge of Sanitary Inspectors under the old District and Taluk Boards and their employment as Village Panchayat Inspectors

17 To, The Deputy Commissioners of Districts and the Presidents of District Boards
No. L 5210-25/d 31.1.27.

Issues instructions in the matter referred to above.

LB
26/2/27.

SW/3201 WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | LB File No. 119 of 1926

Serial No.	
7	From The ~~Deputy~~ Commissioner Ellore No. C.C. 95 & 19.1.27 Submits proposals in regard to the appointments of Village Panchayat Inspectors in the Dt with effect from 1st February 1927.
8	From the Same Officer No C 95 & 19.1.27 Submits proposals regarding the absorption of the present Local Fund clerks and accounters attached to the Taluk Boards.

The Deputy Commrs letters give details of the proposals recommended by him and the points for orders are marked thereon.

Subd for orders

BB 22/1

Kindly see para 2 of Board's Proc dated 10th Dec 1924 and proposal for V.P. Inspectors. This explained. The idea was to have only one Inspr for a group of Villages — neither too much nor too little work. The proposal is in consideration of Less ~~Secretary~~ Secretary to Govt

The proposals appear on proper lines in the 2 papers under Journal may perhaps be approved subject to his remarks if necessary.

Please see all the 7880 orders passed 26/1 for suitable draft letter

Draft letter prepared & submitted

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

5192 & 5293
R 5728

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. 119 of 1926

Serial No.

Adopt the enclosed General Instructions issued & approved thereon by the Minister and the file is submitted

[illegible] 27/1

8107/29/1

The file is put up herewith today from the Dept Section & is submitted

[illegible] 28/1

Draft as proposed had issue at once. I wish some one in this Secty had the sense to anticipate these orders & get orders passed earlier.

Lee 30/1/27

31/1.

Mr Arora

27/1

Re L-5210-25 dt 31-1-27 to Dc Lty, Presidency Dc Bdy.

Lays down certain instructions in the matter of discharge of Sanitary inspectors & their employment as Panchayat Inspectors in the several districts.

25 FEB 1927
LOCAL SELF GOVT. MADRAS

Rec'd 14/2

Rec'd 14/2/27

Immediate Confidential
No. C.C.95
26 —

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 19th January 1927.

3792

From

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

119-26-7

APPOINTMENTS - VILLAGE PANCHAYET INSPECTORS.

As desired by Government in their order No. L. 3686-704 L.B. 119-26-1 dated the 10th December 1926, I have the honour to submit herewith the following proposals in regard to the appointments of Village Panchayet Inspectors in this District with effect from the Ist February 1927:-

1. The marginally noted officials will act as Inspectors of village Panchayets on the salary noted against them. Of these, the first eight are at present Taluk Board Sanitary Inspectors, and are proposed for absorption on their present pay only, except for a slight increase of Rs. 4/- in the case of No. 8. Of the remaining five, out of the present thirteen Taluk Board Sanitary Inspectors of this District, two will be retired, and three will be absorbed in the Municipalities of the District as Sanitary Inspectors, and the five ~~vacancies~~ remaining posts of Panchayet Inspectors caused will be filled up as indicated in the margin (Nos. 9 to 13). Of these five

Messrs:-

		Salary. H.A.
1. N. Ramaswamiyer	Nanjangud.	67 + 15
2. T. Narasimhamurthy	T. Narsipur.	67 + 15
3. M. Srinivasaiengar	Chamarajanagar	67 + 15
4. H. Seshagiri Rao	Nagamangala	67 + 15
5. H.S. Puttanna	Heggadadevankote	65 + 15
6. T. Govinda Rao	Mandya	61 + 15
7. Bheema Rao	Mysore	53 + 15
8. S. Ramiya	Yedatore	53 + 15
9. B. Srinivasaiya	Malavalli	60 + 15
10. S. Gulam Dastagir	Seringapatam	55 + 15
11. Syed Gouse	Gundlupet	55 + 15
12. Mahomed Hyath	Hunsur	55 + 15
13. K. Vyasarao	Krishnarajapet	55 + 15
		Rs 780

Immediate.

D 5793/1927

No. C.C.95/26-7

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore; 19th January 1927.



From

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Bangalore.

Subject:- Appointments - Panchayet clerks.

Sir,

B 119-26-8

In continuation of this office letter No. C.C.95/26-7 dated the 19th instant, stating that a separate reference will be made regarding the absorption of the present Local Fund clerks and attenders attached to the taluk boards. I have the honor to state as follows:-

1. The permanent Local Fund clerks who are now working in the taluk boards, will be provided for in the arrangements made for filling up the newly sanctioned appointments of Panchayet clerks on Rs.30/-. Such of the Local Fund clerks as have put in longer service on Rs.25/- than officials of corresponding pay in the Revenue establishments of the District will be appointed to act on Rs.30/- as Panchayet clerks, the rest of the appointments on Rs.30/- being given to senior clerks on Rs.25/- in the Revenue establishment. In the latter vacancies, the junior permanent local fund clerks will be absorbed.

2. As regards ~~the~~ acting Local Funds clerks, they will also be provided for as far as possible. In the case of such of them as are thrown out, the Assistant Commissioners will be requested to provide for them consistently with the rules of recruitment.

3. The period of these new appointments of panchayet clerks having been at present fixed for five months only, and also

P.T.O

and also with a view to facilitate provisions for these officials who will be thrown out. I request that these vacancies may be allowed to be filled up without advertisement.

4. As regards permanent local fund attendars efforts will be made to train as many of them as possible as vaccinators. The Assistant Commissioners will be requested to absorb such of them as have passed Lower Secondary in short term vacancies as far as possible.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

CMS.

dt 31/8 Jan 27 / 683 14-26-17

To

The Deputy Commrs of Districts.
" Presidents of District Boards of Bangalore, Hassan and Kolar.
" Other Presidents of District Boards

In G.O.No.L.3059-1124/L.B.25-26-14 dated the 12th November 1926, the District Boards were asked to fix the minimum staff that -- would be required for the new District Boards out of the establishment of the existing -- District Boards and Taluk Boards to be abolished, to take timely steps ~~to~~ regarding giving notice etc., to the ~~hands~~ officials to be discharged and to submit lists to Deputy Commissioners of persons to be discharged for their employment as Panchayet Inspectors and Taluk clerks in connection with the Village Panchayet Schemes.

In G.O.No.L.3686-704/L.B.119-26-1 dated 18/20th December 1926, Govt. have sanctioned the appointment of an Inspector of Panchayets for each of the Taluks ~~and Sub Taluks~~ on -- Rs.60/- per mensem to assist the Amildars in their powers of inspection etc., with a horse allowance of Rs.15/- permensem besides a -- clerk on Rs.30/- per mensem ~~to~~ in the case of Taluks and Rs.20/- per mensem in the case of Sub Taluks from 1st February to 30th June 1927 and stated that in appointing persons to the above posts, the Deputy Commissioners will utilise the services of qualified Sanitary Inspectors and clerks to be thrown out consequent on the abolition of the Taluk Boards & curtailment of the District Board establishments.

Various proposals have been received in the

the matter of discharge of Sanitary Inspectors and their employment as Panchayet Inspectors in the several Districts. In some cases discharge of persons of long service and drawing higher pay has been proposed, and though the pay fixed is Rs.60/- per mensem hands on higher pay have been proposed in some cases, while in others, persons drawing much lower pay and persons other than those thrown out from their appointments as Sanitary Inspectors have been proposed to the detriment of the men discharged and who have to be absorbed.

Government therefore direct that in filling up appointments of Panchayet Inspectors preference should be given to the existing Sanitary Inspectors and hands outside that service should be selected only after all the existing Sanitary Inspectors who may not be required for the District Board service and who may have to be discharged are provided.

As regards the selection of hands from this service both for District Board service and Village Panchayets, persons drawing higher pay should be retained in the District Board service and those getting pay of or just above and below Rs.60/- should be selected for Panchayet Inspectorship so that the cost of the latter establishment may not exceed the provision made for the purpose, there may not be discontent owing to hands drawing higher pay being required to accept appointment on lower pay. The appointments of Panchayet Inspectors may be made in accordance with the above orders and a report showing the appointments made with the hands yet to

b s

9

be provided for may be submitted at once.

Village Panchayet Inspectors who are newly employed i.e., who are not Sanitary Inspectors taken from District and Taluk Board establishments should be kept on a temporary basis so as to absorb if required any additional Sanitary Inspectors who may be thrown out from District Boards.

The new men employed as Panchayet -- Inspectors should be such as are able to check and supervise ordinary Village works.

I have etc.,

27/1

L.&.L. Deptts.

No.C.C.95/26-27.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore 8th February 1927.

From

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Village Panchayet Scheme - appointments under

I have the honour to state that as desired by Government in the last para of their Order No. L.3688-704/L.B.119-26-1 dated the 10/20th December 1926, I submitted in my letters to you No. C.C.95/26-27 dated the 19th January 1927, proposals for the appointments of Village Panchayet Inspectors and Clerks for orders. As no orders thereon had been received by me till the 30th January 1927, I stated that I had given effect to the proposals already submitted but had not issued orders on the question of pay to be drawn by each Village Panchayet Inspector and requested for early orders.

2. In reply, my reference has been invited to your letter No. 5210-25/L.B.119-26-17 dated the 31st January 1927 and ~~the~~ to the previous Government Order of the 20th December, which merely refer to the appointment of Village Panchayet Inspectors on Rs. 60/-. My proposals were that there were Taluk Board Sanitary Inspetors already drawing a substantive pay above Rs. 60/- and that they may be absorbed on their own former pay as Panchayet Inspectors. The extra cost involved in this direction in this District has been proposed to be met by correspondingly diminishing the pay to be given to other Officials who are appointed as Panchayet Inspectors. These latter Officials were at the time, drawing pay much lower

P.T.O.

than Rs. 60/- and hence even if they were absorbed on Rs. 55/- they would get a promotion. It was, in these circumstances that I requested that the proposals submitted by this office may be ~~appointed~~ approved. As the point as to whether the Taluk Board Sanitary Inspectors whose substantive pay exceeded Rs. 60/- are to be absorbed only on Rs. 60/-, or whether the difference would be treated as personal allowance involving extra cost. or whether the extra cost involved should be adjusted, as proposed, by giving less pay to other Officials, has not been touched at all in the references under advertance. I request the favour of urgent and definite orders in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

our most obedient Servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

NRS